19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

1	GARY E. SCHNITZER, ESQ.	
2	Nevada Bar No. 395 MATTHEW A. WALKER, ESQ.	
	Nevada Bar No. 13275	
3	KRAVITZ,SCHNITZER & JOHNSON,CHTD.	
4	8985 S. Eastern Avenue, Suite 200	
5	Las Vegas, Nevada 89123 T. (702) 362-6666	
5	F. (702) 362-2000 F. (702) 362-2203	
6	gschnitzer@ksjattorneys.com	
7	mwalker@ksjattorneys.com	
	Attorneys for Defendant,	
8	FORD MOTOR CREDIT COMPANY, LLC	
9		
10	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
	DISTRICT OF NEVADA	
11		
12	KERRI L. VAN HORN,	Case No. 2:15-cv-02345-RFB-CWH
13	Plaintiff,	
14	vs.	STIPULATION FOR EXTENSION OF TIME FOR DEFENDANT
15	ACCTCORP OF SOUTHERN NEVADA,	FORD MOTOR CREDIT COMPANY, LLC, TO FILE ITS
16	BANK OF AMERICA, N.A., CAPITAL ONE, FORD MOTOR CREDIT COMPANY,	REPLY IN SUPPORT OF ITS
	LLC, CITIBANK, and EXPERIAN	RENEWED MOTION TO DISMISS
17	INFORMATION SOLUTIONS, INC.,	DIGMIGO
18	Defendants	(SECOND REQUEST)

COMES NOW, Defendant Ford Motor Credit Company, LLC ("Defendant"), and Plaintiff Kerri L. Van Horn ("Plaintiff"), by and through their respective counsel, and hereby submit this Stipulation for Extension of Time for Defendant Ford Motor Credit Company, LLC to File Its Reply In Support Of Its Renewed Motion to Dismiss.

WHEREAS, this case was removed to this Court on December 9, 2015 (Dkt. #1);

WHEREAS, Defendant filed its Motion to Dismiss on December 21, 2015 (Dkt. #14);

WHEREAS, Plaintiff filed her Amended Complaint January 7, 2016 (Dkt. #19);

1

KRAVITZ, SCHNITZER & JOHNSON, CHTD. 8985 S. Eastern Ave., Ste. 200 Las Vegas, Nevada 89123

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

	WHEREAS, Defendant filed its Renewed Motion to Dismiss on January 20, 2016 (Dkt
#23);	

WHEREAS, Plaintiff filed its Opposition to Defendant's Motion to Dismiss on February 5, 2016 (Dkt. #35);

WHEREAS, Defendant's current deadline to reply is February 29, 2016;

Case 2:15-cv-02345-RFB-CWH Document 42 Filed 02/29/16 Page 2 of 3

WHEREAS, the Parties have stipulated that Defendant shall have up to and including March 14, 2016, to file its reply;

WHEREAS, Defendant requires more time to obtain the proper documents in order to address specific issues and arguments raised in Plaintiff's Amended Complaint and Opposition to Defendant's Renewed Motion to Dismiss. The facts contained in those documents will inform Defendant which legal arguments it may appropriately raise in its reply;

WHEREAS, this is Defendant's second request for an extension of this deadline. THEREFORE, in consideration of the foregoing, and for good cause, IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED by and between the Parties as follows:

(1) The current deadline, of February 29, 2016, to reply to Plaintiff's Opposition to Defendant's Motion to Dismiss is hereby vacated;

///

///

25 ///

27

26

/// 28

(2) Defendant shall have up to and including March 14, 2016, to file its Reply In Support Of Its Renewed Motion to Dismiss.

IT IS SO STIPULATED.

DATED this 26th day of February, 2016.

/s/ Matthew A. Walker
Gary E. Schnitzer, Esq.
Nevada Bar No. 395
Matthew A. Walker
Nevada Bar No. 13275
KRAVITZ, SCHNITZER &
JOHNSON, CHTD.
8985 S. Eastern Ave., Ste. 200
Las Vegas, NV 89123

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED: February 29, 2016

United States Magistrate Judge

Attorneys for Defendant